	Fluency	Content	Range	Accuracy	Interaction
5 points (C2)	at length without displaying signs of fatigue. When occasionally	candidate is both wholly appropriate to the interaction and adds new dimensions. The candidate provides sizeable chunks of coherent language, when appropriate, giving ample evidence for rating.	range of appropriate vocabulary; and the ability, when appropriate, to produce complex grammatical structures. The candidate is able to tackle any	The standard: of discoursal, grammatical, and phonological accuracy is very high. No Hunglish. Very minor imperfections and production slips, more characteristic of spoken language than true errors,	This candidate can be considered a good conversationist and a sensitive speaker. He/she displays consistent evidence of the ability to initiate a conversation and to take turns sensitively, without being domineering. When the need arises, the candidate even facilitates others in the expression of their meaning. He/she is fast and versatile/inventive in picking up new topics or changes of direction within a topic.
4 points ("C1+")	him/herself fluently and spontaneously, almost	clear, smoothly flowing, well-structured speech, showing controlled use of organisational patterns, connectors and cohesive	command of a broad range of language allowing him/her to select a formulation to express	maintains a high degree of grammatical accuracy; errors are rare, difficult to spot and generally corrected when they do occur.	The candidate can select a suitable phrase from a readily available range of discourse functions to preface his remarks in order to get or to keep the floor, or to gain time and keep it whilst thinking, and to relate his/her own contributions skilfully to those of other speakers. The candidate can even easily follow and contribute to complex interactions between third parties in group discussion even on abstract, complex unfamiliar topics.
3 points (C1)	at length with minimal hesitation.	candidate is wholly appropriate to the interaction. Sizeable appropriate coherent	adequate range of appropriate vocabulary, and the ability, when appropriate, to produce complex grammatical structures. No very obvious avoidance strategies. The candidate is willing to enter	grammatical and phonological accuracy is high, However, there are very occasional errors which do not impede communication and which do not make the assessor 'twitch' (Hunglish), are acceptable. The candidate is capable of monitoring their	

	Fluency	Content	Range	Accuracy	Interaction
2 points (B2+)	spontaneously, showing fluency and ease of expression in even longer but not very complex stretches of speech.	amount of linking words efficiently to mark clearly the relationships between ideas.	The candidate can mostly express him/herself clearly without much sign of having to restrict what he/she wants to say. His/her range of vocabulary may make it difficult to get the message through.	occasional 'slips' or non- systematic errors and minor flaws in sentence structure may	express him or herself confidently, clearly and politely in a formal or informal register, appropriate to the situation and person(s) concerned. The candidate can
1 point (B2)	stretches of language with a fairly even tempo; although he/she can be hesitant as he/she	number of cohesive devices to link his/her utterances into clear, coherent discourse, though there may be some 'jumpiness' in a long contribution.	The candidate has an insufficient range of language to be able to give clear descriptions, express viewpoints on most general topics, without much conspicuous searching for words, using some complex sentence forms to do so.	grammatical control and does not make errors which cause misunderstanding, and can correct most of his/her mistakes.	discourse, take his/her turn when appropriate and end conversation when he/she
(B1+ or	conversation at length; hesitation, groping and rephrasing noticeably impede the flow, and may even increase as the examination progresses.	interaction. Information may be 'off-task' (possibly a result of 'rehearsal'.) Minimal contributions. Just enough evidence to be rated. (Not enough evidence = disqualification)	The candidate plays safe. Fails to display a wide enough range of appropriate vocabulary/grammatical structures. There is evidence of avoidance strategies, the candidate appearing to opt for easier ways of expression. Rather unwilling to enter unpredictable areas of discussion.	phonological errors are serious enough to impede communication or are of the kind that make the assessor 'twitch'. The standard of	topics, changes of direction and other speakers' initiatives with considerable effort. He/she displays no evidence of the ability to initiate an interaction and takes turns generally only by invitation.